

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/810,593	PALMER, ROBERT M.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

**All Participants:**

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Andrew R. Hicks.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 6 June 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 10/5/05*

Claims discussed:

*All*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Prior Art of Record*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend the claims and cancel claims 15 and 21-24, and non-elected claims 1-7, as shown in the Examiner's Amendment, to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record, in view of pages 6-8 of the specification, and for the sake of clarity and accuracy..